

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 KALVIN N. CRAVEN,
8 Petitioner,
9 v.
10 JIM ROBERTSON,
11 Respondent.

Case No. [20-cv-01933-SI](#)

**ORDER DIRECTING RESPONDENT
TO FILE A RESPONSE TO
PETITIONER'S RECENT FILINGS**

12
13 Petitioner has recently filed several documents which collectively seek to amend the petition
14 to assert a number of ineffective assistance of counsel claims. Dkt. Nos. 28-30 ("the motion").
15 Petitioner's counsel states that he attempted to exhaust these claims in state court but that "there is
16 no way for Mr. [C]raven to file anything in the California Supreme Court," and thus that petitioner
17 is now seeking relief from this Court.¹

18 The Court directs respondent to file a response to the motion no later than **April 12, 2021**.

19
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21
22 Dated: March 25, 2021

23
24
25
26
27 SUSAN ILLSTON
28 United States District Judge



28
¹ The Court notes that one ineffective assistance claim (relating to the failure to file a motion
to suppress videos obtained from petitioner's cell phone) was previously raised before the California
Supreme Court and has been briefed. See Answer to Order to Show Cause at 15 (Dkt. No. 12).